

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LORRAINE MEMORY WILKIN, R.N.,
Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA *ex rel.* THE NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF PRISONS, et al.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-00187-LRH-RAM

**STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH
PREJUDICE**

AND ORDER THEREON

Plaintiff, Lorraine Memory Wilkin, by and through her attorneys, Robert Hager and Treva Hearne, and Defendants, State of Nevada *ex rel.* Department of Prisons, Glen Whorton, E.K. McDaniel, Joe Brackbill, Mary Eaton, Stephen MacArthur and Bruce Bannister, by and through their attorneys, Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Kristen R. Geddes, Deputy Attorney General, and hereby stipulate and agree that all proceedings in the above-captioned matter be dismissed with prejudice.

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 This *Stipulation For Dismissal With Prejudice* is based upon an out-of-court settlement
2 reached by Plaintiff and Defendants in this matter, which settlement has been memorialized in
3 a settlement agreement executed by and between the parties.

4
5 Dated: 7/30/10

Dated: _____

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General

6
7
8 By: 

TREVA J. HEARNE, ESQ.
ROBERT R. HAGER, ESQ.
HAGER & HEARNE
245 E. Liberty Street
Suite 110
Reno, NV 89501

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____

Kristen R. Geddes
Deputy Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
Attorneys for Defendants

1 This *Stipulation For Dismissal With Prejudice* is based upon an out-of-court settlement
2 reached by Plaintiff and Defendants in this matter, which settlement has been memorialized in
3 a settlement agreement executed by and between the parties.

4
5 Dated: _____

Dated: 7/28/10

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General

6
7
8 By: _____

By: Kristen R. Geddes

9 TREVA J. HEARNE, ESQ.
10 ROBERT R. HAGER, ESQ.
HAGER & HEARNE
245 E. Liberty Street
Suite 110
Reno, NV 89501

Kristen R. Geddes
Deputy Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

Attorneys for Defendants

11
12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ORDER

Having considered the preceding *Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice*, as agreed to by the parties hereinabove, and for other GOOD CAUSE, the Court hereby ORDERS that this case be DISMISSED with PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2010.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE